

Uniform Convergence of Cubic Spline Interpolants

C. A. HALL

Department of Mathematics, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213

Communicated by E. W. Cheney

Received June 26, 1970

1. INTRODUCTION

Let C denote the space of all continuous functions f on $[0, 1]$ which satisfy $f(0) = f(1)$. Let $\{\pi_n\}$ be a sequence of partitionings of $[0, 1]$. $\pi_n : 0 = x_0^{(n)} < \dots < x_n^{(n)} = 1$. Let $\{L_n f\}$ be the sequence of *periodic cubic spline interpolants* associated with f and $\{\pi_n\}$, so that $L_n f(x_i) = f(x_i)$, $0 \leq i \leq n$, and $(L_n f)^{(j)}(0) = (L_n f)^{(j)}(1)$, $j = 0, 1, 2$.

A problem of some concern [4, 8] in the theory of spline approximation is the determination of simple necessary and sufficient conditions on $\{\pi_n\}$ to insure that $\{L_n f\}$ converges uniformly to f for all $f \in C$. Sharma and Meir [7] proved that

$$\text{if } \beta_n \equiv \max_i h_i^{(n)} / \min_i h_i^{(n)} < \beta \quad \text{for all } n, \tag{1}$$

then $L_n f \rightarrow f$ uniformly for all $f \in C$. Here and following $h_i^{(n)} = x_i^{(n)} - x_{i-1}^{(n)}$, $\delta_n = \max_i h_i^{(n)}$, and for ease of notation the superscript n will be deleted if there is no danger of ambiguity. Nord [6] has shown the existence of $\{\pi_n\}$ (necessarily) with unbounded mesh ratio β_n and a continuous function f such that $L_n f \not\rightarrow f$ as $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$. That β_n need *not* be bounded for $L_n f \rightarrow f$ to hold was first observed by Cheney and Schurer [3]. Sharma and Meir [8] proved that

$$\text{if } P_n \equiv \max_{|i-j|=1} h_i^{(n)} / h_j^{(n)} < \sqrt{2} \quad \text{for all } n, \tag{2}$$

then $L_n f \rightarrow f$ uniformly for all $f \in C$. This condition was later [4] relaxed by Cheney and Schurer to

$$P_n < 2 \quad \text{for all } n \text{ sufficiently large.} \tag{3}$$

In [4], it was conjectured that (3) is also a necessary condition in order that $L_n f \rightarrow f$ for all $f \in C$. We observe that this conjecture is false since one can construct a $\{\pi_n\}$ satisfying (1) but not (3). Of course, (3) does not imply (1) either.

The main purpose of this note is to establish a third sufficient condition, not implying (1) or (3), which insures that $L_n f \rightarrow f$ for all $f \in C$ (Theorem 1). In addition, the convergence of *nonperiodic cubic spline interpolants* to functions $f \in C[0, 1]$ is established under similar conditions on $\{\pi_n\}$.

2. CONVERGENCE THEOREM

Define (for a fixed π_n)

$$q_n(i) \equiv (h_i/(h_{i+1} + h_{i+2})) + (h_{i+1}/(h_{i-1} + h_i)), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \quad (4)$$

where $h_0 \equiv h_n$, $h_{n+1} \equiv h_1$, and $h_{n+2} \equiv h_2$. Let

$$Q_n \equiv \max_i q_n(i). \quad (5)$$

We now state and prove the main result.

THEOREM 1. *If $P_n < P$ and $Q_n \leq Q < 2$ then for each $f \in C$*

$$\|f - L_n f\|_\infty \leq [3P^2 + 2(P + 1)(2 - Q)/2(2 - Q)(P + 1)] \omega(f; \delta_n), \quad (6)$$

where $\omega(f; \delta_n)$ is the modulus of continuity of f . Consequently, $L_n f \rightarrow f$ uniformly as $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$.

Remark. There exists $\{\pi_n\}$ satisfying the above conditions but such that $P_n > 2$ and β_n is unbounded. For example, consider $\{\pi_n\}$ such that for n even, $n = 2m$,

$$\begin{aligned} h_m &= h_{m+1} = \delta_n \\ h_{m-1} &= h_{m+2} = 1/3 \delta_n \\ h_{m-2} &= h_{m+3} = 1/3 \delta_n \\ h_{m-3} &= h_{m+4} = 1/3^2 \delta_n \\ h_{m-4} &= h_{m+5} = 1/3^2 \delta_n \\ &\vdots \\ h_1 &= h_n = 1/3^k \delta_n, \quad \text{where } k = \begin{cases} (m-1)/2 & \text{if } m \text{ odd} \\ m/2 & \text{if } m \text{ even.} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

For n odd, let $n + 1 = 2m$, ignore h_{n+1} in the last line of (7). For example, $n = 11$ yields $h_1 = \delta/27$, $h_2 = h_3 = h_{10} = h_{11} = \delta/9$, $h_4 = h_5 = h_8 = h_9 = \delta/3$, and $h_6 = h_7 = \delta = 27/103$. Clearly, $P_n = 3$ and β_n is unbounded as $n \rightarrow \infty$, but one can easily verify that the conditions in Theorem 1 are satisfied with $Q = 11/6$.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $H^2([0, 1], \pi_n)$ be the subspace of $C^1[0, 1]$ consisting of functions which are cubic polynomials in each subinterval of π_n , i.e., $H^2([0, 1], \pi_n)$ is the *smooth Hermite space* or order 2, [2]. Write

$$L_n f - f = (L_n f - V_n f) + (V_n f - f), \tag{8}$$

where $V_n f$ is the unique element of the smooth Hermite space $H^2([0, 1], \pi_n)$, such that $V_n f(x_i) = f(x_i)$ and $(V_n f)'(x_i) = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$. The following two lemmas bound the terms on the right side of (8).

LEMMA 1. $f \in C$ implies $\|V_n f - f\|_\infty \leq \omega(f; \delta_n)$.

Proof. Using the notation of [5], for $x_{i-1} \leq x \leq x_i$

$$\begin{aligned} V_n f(x) &= f_{i-1} H_1(\bar{x}) + f_i H_2(\bar{x}) \\ &= f(x) + (f_{i-1} - f(x)) H_1(\bar{x}) + (f_i - f(x)) H_2(\bar{x}), \end{aligned}$$

since $(H_1 + H_2)(\bar{x}) = 1$ for all x . Lemma 1 follows immediately since $(|H_1| + |H_2|)(\bar{x}) = 1$ also.

LEMMA 2. $f \in C$ implies $\|L_n f - V_n f\|_\infty \leq [3P^2/2(2 - Q)(P + 1)] \omega(f; \delta_n)$.

Proof. Note that $L_n f$ and $V_n f$ are both elements of $H^2([0, 1], \pi_n)$ and as in [5, Eq. (11)] we have for $x_{i-1} \leq x \leq x_i$

$$\|L_n f - V_n f\|_\infty \leq K_i \{ \|H_3(\bar{x})\| + \|H_4(\bar{x})\| \} \leq h_i K_i / 4, \tag{9}$$

where $K_i = \max\{L_n f'(x_{i-1}), L_n f'(x_i)\}$.

Remark. Equation (11) in Ref. 5 contains a misprint. The term in braces should be written as in (9). The bound $\Delta/4$ given in [5] is for this latter quantity.

We now seek a bound on K_i for each i . Let \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{f} be $n \times 1$ vectors with $[\mathbf{X}]_i = (L_n f)'(x_i)$ and $[\mathbf{f}]_i = 3\{(h_{i+1}/h_i)(f_i - f_{i-1}) + (h_i/h_{i+1})(f_{i+1} - f_i)\}$.

Let

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 2(h_1 + h_2) & h_1 & 0 \cdots 0 & h_2 \\ h_3 & 2(h_2 + h_3) & h_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & h_{n-1} \\ h_n & 0 \cdots 0 & h_1 & 2(h_n + h_1) \end{bmatrix},$$

then we must have from [1, Eq. (2.1.17)]

$$M\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{f}. \tag{10}$$

Define $D = \text{diag}\{2(h_1 + h_2), \dots, 2(h_n + h_1)\}$. Then (10) is equivalent to

$$(MD^{-1})(DX) = \mathbf{f}. \quad (10')$$

The matrix $MD^{-1} = I + B$, where $\|B\|_\infty \leq Q/2 < 1$, and by [9, p. 61] it follows that $\|(I + B)^{-1}\|_\infty \leq 2/(2 - Q)$. Hence

$$\|DX\|_\infty \leq 12P\omega(f; \delta_n)/(2 - Q).$$

Therefore, $|(L_n f)'(x_i)| \leq 6P\omega(f; \delta_n)/[(2 - Q)(h_i + h_{i+1})]$ and from (9), Lemma 2 follows immediately.¹ The bound in (6) then follows from the triangle inequality and the proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

The proof of Theorem 1 is easily modified to establish the following theorem.

THEOREM 2. *Let $S_n f$ be the unique cubic spline interpolant of a (continuous, not necessarily periodic) function $f \in C[0, 1]$ such that $S_n f(x_i) = f(x_i)$, $0 \leq i \leq n$ and $(S_n f)'(0) = (S_n f)'(1) = 0$. If $P_n < P$ and $Q_n \leq Q < 2$ then*

$$\|f - S_n f\|_\infty \leq [3P^2 + 2(P + 1)(2 - Q)/2(2 - Q)(P + 1)] \omega(f; \delta_n).$$

Consequently, $S_n f \rightarrow f$ uniformly as $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$.

If the class $C[0, 1]$ or C is restricted to $\text{Lip}_N 1 \equiv \{f \mid \omega(f, \delta) \leq N\delta\}$, then we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 3. *If $f \in \text{Lip}_N 1$ then independent of the mesh $\{\pi_n\}$*

$$\|S_n f - f\|_\infty \leq 5/2 N\delta_n, \quad (11)$$

and if f is periodic, i.e., $f(0) = f(1)$ then

$$\|L_n f - f\|_\infty \leq 5/2 N\delta_n. \quad (12)$$

Consequently, $S_n f \rightarrow f$ and $L_n f \rightarrow f$ uniformly as $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. The proof of Theorem 1 need only be modified as follows. From Eq. (10) we have

$$D^{-1}MX = D^{-1}\mathbf{f},$$

and hence

$$\|X\|_\infty \leq \|(D^{-1}M)^{-1}\|_\infty \cdot \|D^{-1}\mathbf{f}\|_\infty \leq 3 \left[\frac{\omega(f; h_i)}{h_i} + \frac{\omega(f; h_{i+1})}{h_{i+1}} \right] \leq 6N.$$

The remainder of the proof parallels the proof of Theorem 1 and is omitted.

¹ The author is indebted to the referee for the observation that $h_i/(h_i + h_{i+1}) \leq P/(P + 1)$ which improves the bound of 1 in the original manuscript.

Remark. If $\{\pi_n\}$ satisfies (2) or (3) then $\{\pi_n\}$ satisfies the hypothesis of Theorems 1 and 2. We need only note that $1/P_n \leq h_i/h_{i-1}$, $h_{i-1}/h_i \leq P_n$ and

$$\begin{aligned} q_n(i) &= h_i/h_{i+1}[1 + (h_{i+2}/h_{i+1})] + h_{i+1}/h_i[1 + (h_{i-1}/h_i)] \\ &< 1/(1 + 1/P_n)[(h_i/h_{i+1}) + (h_{i+1}/h_i)] \\ &< P_n/(1 + P_n)[1/P_n + P_n] = (P_n^2 + 1)/(P_n + 1), \end{aligned}$$

which is less than 2 for $P_n < 1 + \sqrt{2}$ and is maximized on $[1, P]$ at P . The author is indebted to Professor M. Marsden for this bound on $q_n(i)$ which improves the estimate $q_n(i) < P_n$ given in the original manuscript. From (6), with $Q = (P^2 + 1)/(P + 1)$, we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 4. *If $P_n \leq P < 1 + \sqrt{2}$ for all n , then for each $f \in C$,*

$$\|f - L_n f\|_\infty \leq (P^2 + 4P + 2)/2(-P^2 + 2P + 1) \omega(f; \delta_n).$$

This improves somewhat the bounds given in [4] or [8] for a given $P < 2$ and extends the admissible interval for P to $[1, 1 + \sqrt{2})$.

REFERENCES

1. J. AHLBERG, E. NILSON, AND J. WALSH, "The Theory of Splines and Their Applications," Academic Press, New York, 1967.
2. G. BIRKHOFF, M. SCHULTZ, AND R. VARGA, Piecewise Hermite interpolation in one and two variables with applications to partial differential equations," *Numer. Math.* **11** (1968), 232-256.
3. E. CHENEY AND F. SCHURER, A note on the operations arising in spline approximation, *J. Approximation Theory* **1** (1968), 94-102.
4. E. CHENEY AND F. SCHURER, Convergence of cubic spline interpolants, *J. Approximation Theory* **3** (1970), 114-116.
5. C. HALL, On Error Bounds for Spline Interpolation, *J. Approximation Theory* **1** (1968), 209-218.
6. S. NORD, Approximation properties of the spline fit, *B.I.T.* **7** (1967), 132-144.
7. A. SHARMA AND A. MEIR, Degree of approximation of spline interpolation, *J. Math. Mech.* **15** (1966), 759-768.
8. A. SHARMA AND A. MEIR, On uniform approximation by cubic splines, *J. Approximation Theory* **2** (1969), 270-274.
9. J. WILKINSON, "The Algebraic Eigenvalue Problem," Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1965.